



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/22/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/363,456	07/29/1999	WILLIAM J. BENMAN	VIRTUAL-2	8150
. 75	90 05/22/2002			
WILLIAM J BENMAN INTEGRATED VIRTUAL NETWORKS 2049 CENTURY PARK EAST			EXAMINER	
			CAO, HUEDUNG X	
SUITE 2740 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2671	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

My

				\mathcal{R}_{I}		
·/-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	•	09/363,456	BENMAN, WILLIAM J.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Huedung X Cao	2671			
	- The MAILING DATE of this communica	ation appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address			
Period for	r Reply					
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with the set or extended period for reply with play received by the Office later than three months after different adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	A I ION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, m ication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6).	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>05 <i>March 2002</i></u> .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 21	o) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition to closed in accordance with the practic	for allowance except for forma se under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	matters, prosecution as to the merits is 5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
_	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the ap	oplication.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	e withdrawn from consideration	l.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requiremen	t.			
	ion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	b by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 3/ CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed	on is: a)[_] approved b) asapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0 0 0 440(a) (d) an (f)			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (ī).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been receive	d.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been receive	d in Application No			
*	 Copies of the certified copies of application from the Intern See the attached detailed Office action 	ational Bureau (PC) Rule 17.4	been received in this National Stage 2(a)). s not received.			
44	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or domestic priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	on).		
	 a) The translation of the foreign land Acknowledgment is made of a claim f 	quage provisional application	has been received.			
Attachme		, -				
1) No	ctice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P	PTO-948) 5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) her:			

Art Unit: 2671

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 7-8, 12-13, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paruski et al. (#6,366,316 B1).

As per claim 1, and similar claim 17, Parulski teaches the claimed "system for transplanting an image from a first scene to a second scene" comprising:

first means for providing image data (Parulski, col. 4, lines 38-41);

second means responsive to said first means for storing a first frame of image data consisting of a heterogeneous background scene (Kitahara, figure 5 – element 18). It is noted that Parulski does not explicitly disclose a heterogeneous as claimed; however, Parulski's background 18 is analogous to Applicant's a heterogeneous background. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a heterogeneous background scene so the users can have more choice to select a background that they want for the display image.

third means responsive to said first means for providing a second frame of image (Parulski, figure 1, element 12);

Art Unit: 2671

data consisting of a second scene having said background scene at least partially obscured by a foreground object (Parulski ,col. 2, lines 38-56); and

fourth means responsive to said second and third means for processing said second frame to extract an image of said object independent of said background scene (Parulski, fig. 1, element 22).

As per claims 3, and a similar claim 8 "means for inserting said image of said foreground object into a third scene" (Parulski, fig. 1, element 32).

Claim 7 is similar to claim 1, except for the steps of: fifth means for processing said difference frame to provide a template (Parulski, col. 2, lines 44-51); and sixth means for multiplying said second frame by said template to extract an image consisting essentially of said foreground object (Paruski, col. 2, lines 46-51).

As per claims 12 and claim 13, wherein said fifth means includes means for filtering said difference frame and differentiating said filtered image which Paruski teaches in col. 5, lines 28-67.

3. Claims 2, 4-6, 9-11, 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paruski et al. (#6,366,316 B1) in view of Adelson (#5,706,417).

As per claim 2 "said fourth means includes means for comparing picture elements of said second frame to corresponding picture elements in said first frame and replacing each pixel element with a predetermined value (zero value, col. 6, lines 8-11) if the result of the comparison is a first value and outputting each picture element if the result of the comparison is a second

Art Unit: 2671

value, wherein the second value is the complement of said first value" (unity value, col. 6, lines 12-15) which Adelson teaches col. 6, lines 8-14. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a comparing means for comparing picture element in order to decide whether if the desired picture will be outputted.

Claim 4, and a similar claim 9 "said third scene is computer generated" which Adelson teaches in col. 13, lines 39-63. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to generate the third scene by computer in order to develop a 3D model of the real moving object.

As per claim 5, and a similar claim 10, "wherein said first scene is static" which Adelson teaches in col. 4, line 23.

As per claim 6, and a similar claim 11 "wherein said first scene is dynamic" which Adelson teaches in col. 10, lines 49-50.

As per claims 14-16, wherein means for differentiating provide an outline, said fifth means includes means for filling said outline with a value, and said value is logical "1" which Adelson suggests in col. 5, line 65-col. 6, line 14.

Art Unit: 2671

Double Patenting

4. Claims 1-17 of this application conflict with claims 1-17 of Application No. 09/363,771 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Robinson et al. (#6,295,374 B1) teaches a method for detecting a flaw in a sample image.

Chauvin et al. (#5,886,701) teaches a graphics rendering device and method for operating same.

Kajiya (#5,864,342) teaches method for rendering graphical object to image chunks.

Itoh (#6,034,785) teaches an image synthesizing method.

Amemiya et al. (#5,970,183) teaches detecting an outer shape of an original image and controlling to inhibit image frame processing in accordance with the detection result.

Art Unit: 2671

Inquires

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to **Huedung Cao** whose telephone number is (703) 308-5024.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Mark Zimmerman, can be reached at (703) 305-9798.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington,

VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone

number is (703) 305-39000377.

Huedung X. Cao

Patent Examiner

MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600